Appropriate Timing of Fluoxetine and Statin Delivery Reduces the Risk of Secondary Bleeding in Ischemic Stroke Rats

Abstract

Background: Ongoing clinical trials are testing the effect of fluoxetine delivered post-stroke where a majority of patients are taking statins. This study determined the influence of the timing of administration of fluoxetine and statin on the final infarct volume and the risk of secondary bleeding in an animal model of ischemic stroke.

Methods and findings: Ischemic strokes were induced by endothelin-1 injection into two cortical sites of 10-12 month old female rats, targeting the forelimb motor cortex. Combined medications (5 mg/kg fluoxetine and 1 mg/kg simvastatin) were orally administered either beginning 6-12 hours or 20-26 hours after stroke induction and continued daily for 90 days. Infarct volumes were assessed at post-stroke day 91 using Nissl stained coronal brain sections.

Control animals typically had 5-13 mm³ infarct volumes following endothelin-1 induced stroke. Animals that received fluoxetine and simvastatin (FS) beginning 20-26 hours after stroke induction showed a strong trend of reduced infarct motor cortex (3±0.3447 mm³ SEM, P=0.0563). Earlier drug delivery (6-12 hours after stroke) resulted in significantly larger infarct volumes (15.44±26.0 mm³ SEM, P=0.0157) when the drug groups were directly compared. Examination of the infarcts showed that earlier drug delivery induced secondary hemorrhagic infarcts, while later delivery did not (P=0.0427; Fisher’s exact test).

Conclusion: There is a danger of secondary bleeding if fluoxetine and simvastatin are combined within 6-12 hours of ischemic stroke induction in rats resulting in larger infarct volumes. Delaying fluoxetine and simvastatin delivery to 20-26 hours after stroke induction in rats, however, reduces infarct volume and significantly lowers the risk of secondary hemorrhagic infarcts.
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Introduction

Only a small percentage of acute ischemic stroke patients reach the hospital in time to be considered for treatment with recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rtPA), which can minimize permanent brain damage and reduce the risk of long-term disability [1]. Fluoxetine, a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI), has been recently shown to enhance motor functional recovery after ischemic stroke [2,3]. Several ongoing clinical trials are looking at the effect of Fluoxetine when given 2-15 days following stroke onset, including the AFFINITY (Assessment of Fluoxetine in Stroke) trial in Australia, the FOCUS (Fluoxetine Or Control Under Supervision) trial in the United Kingdom, and the EFFECTS (Efficacy of Fluoxetine—a randomized Controlled Trial in Stroke) trial in Sweden [4]. Since the majority of patients coming into the hospital are already on statins due to dyslipidemia, the purpose of this study was to examine whether administering fluoxetine at an earlier time-
point after stroke onset in combination with a statin could improve outcomes. In this study, we measured infarct volume differences when fluoxetine was administered either 6-12 hours or 20-26 hours after stroke induction in 10-12 month old rats.

**Materials and Methods**

**Subjects**

All animal work was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Wright State University, and all procedures followed were in accordance with institutional guidelines. Animals used were 10-12 month old female Sprague-Dawley rats (generally 300-400 grams), obtained from Harlan Laboratories (Indianapolis, IN) and fed Harlan rat chow. The specific groups are indicated in Table 1.

**Functional tests**

*Montoya staircase:* Rats were fasted overnight and then placed on a restricted diet consisting of 85% of their ad lib rat chow while they were trained to pick up sucrose pellets on the Montoya Staircase [5]. The Montoya Staircase consists of a raised platform with seven stairwells on each side containing sucrose pellets (three per stairwell) on each side of the platform, allowing left and right forelimb function to be assessed. Training occurred during the dark-phase and consisted of 15 minute trials inside the Montoya Staircase with sucrose pellets that have been painted with maple extract; the maple odor helps the rats locate the sucrose pellets on the stair wells. Training generally lasts anywhere from 10-14 days. At the end of the training period, the rat’s pre-stroke performance was determined by the best overall performance (total pellets taken) during the last three days of training. Animals must have learned to pick up at least 9 pellets with both their left and right forepaws or they were excluded from the Montoya Staircase analysis. The majority of animals learned to retrieve between 15-18 pellets with both paws. At 3-5 days post-stroke the rats were tested on the Montoya Staircase again, and their best performance (total pellets taken) was used as a measure of their post-stroke baseline performance. To normalize their performance, we divided the number of pellets taken by each paw post-stroke by the number of pellets taken by each paw pre-stroke. Thus, when the quotient was 1, the animal had fully regained pre-stroke function in a particular limb and when the quotient was less than 1, the animal had a functional deficit in that limb. In this test in particular, the increased percentage of right paw use gave us values greater than 1.

**Surgery for stroke induction**

Anesthesia was induced with 5% isoflurane, and then the animals were maintained on 2-2.5% isoflurane using an anesthesia mask on the stereotactic apparatus (Stoelting Co., USA). The basic surgical procedures are as described in a previous study[6], with the following modifications: two holes were drilled in the skull, with positions relative to Bregma of (0.0 mm A.P., 2.5 mm M.L.) and (1.5 mm A.P., and 2.5 mm M.L.). The needle containing endothelin-1 was inserted into both holes in the skull, then lowered to a depth of 2 mm. One and one-half microliters of endothelin-1 (600 pmol) was injected into each hole over a period of about 5 minutes. These positions were chosen to target the forelimb motor cortex in rats [7].

**Treatment conditions**

Two different time-points were chosen for initiating 5 mg/kg fluoxetine and 1 mg/kg simvastatin after stroke induction: 6-12 hours (12 animals per group) and 20-26 hours (8 animals per group). Animals were randomly assigned to either drug or control group after the surgery, but before the baseline stroke deficit was determined using either Montoya Staircase or Forelimb Asymmetry tests. After drug treatment was begun, it was continued daily for a period of 90 days, using voluntary oral administration of the drugs [8]. Briefly, this involves putting the drugs in a 4 gram ball of sugar cookie dough and allowing the animals to eat it. Control animals receive the 4 gram ball of sugar cookie dough with no drugs added.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Gender/ Strain</th>
<th>Weight at Stroke (mean±SD)</th>
<th>Drugs (oral)</th>
<th>Age at Stroke</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6-12 hrs. Control</td>
<td>Female/ Sprague Dawley</td>
<td>329 ± 21.4 gm</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>10.5 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-12 hrs. FS</td>
<td>Female/ Sprague Dawley</td>
<td>332 ± 30.9 gm</td>
<td>1 mg/kg statin 5 mg/kg fluoxetine</td>
<td>10.5 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-26 hrs. Control</td>
<td>Female/ Sprague Dawley</td>
<td>316 ± 19.5 gm</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>10.5 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-26 hrs. FS</td>
<td>Female/ Sprague Dawley</td>
<td>322 ± 27.6 gm</td>
<td>1 mg/kg statin 5 mg/kg fluoxetine</td>
<td>10.5 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Characteristics of treatment groups. FS refers to fluoxetine and statin groups.
Histology

The animal was anesthetized with 100mg/kg, i.p. pentobarbital (Euthasol®), then euthanized by cardiac perfusion with phosphate-buffered saline followed by 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline. The brain was dissected out, the left hemisphere marked, and the brain post-fixed 24 hours in 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline. The brain was then put into a 30% sucrose solution for three days, in preparation for cryosectioning. Fifty micron coronal slices were cut on a cryostat and collected into phosphate buffered saline. For infarct measurement, these sections were mounted onto gel-subbed slides, then stained with Nissl stain [6], dehydrated and coverslipped with DPX mountant (Sigma-Aldrich).

Infarct measurement

Brightfield images were taken of the sections using a 4X objective, then montaged using Adobe Photoshop 7.0 (Adobe Systems Inc, San Jose CA, USA) into a complete picture of any damaged regions of the brain. Area of the infarct was determined with ImageJ software from NIH. We determined infarct area (mm²) for every third or fourth slice, then multiplied by the slice thickness (mm) and either 3 or 4 respectively, to determine the complete infarct volume in mm³. Individuals determining the infarct volume were blinded to the animal group (control group or fluoxetine-simvastatin group). We lost four brains in the fluoxetine-simvastatin treated group for 6-12 hour administration (due to damage while cutting the brains on the microtome (shattering), which made the brain slices impossible to mount on slides or damage to brain while dissection that made determination of complete infarct volume impossible.

Statistics

An unpaired t-test with Welch’s correction for unequal variances was used for statistical comparison of the infarct volumes (Prism 6.0, GraphPad Software Inc. San Diego, USA). Figure 1 shows means and standard error of the means, with individual infarct volumes given by closed symbols clustered around means. Sample sizes are given in the figure legends. The online relative risk calculator from the MedCalc site (www.medcalc.org/calc-relative_risk.php) was used to determine the relative risk of the stroke rats developing secondary hemorrhagic stroke due to drug combination administration time and Fisher’s exact test (two-tailed) was used to determine if there was a statistical difference in the occurrence of secondary hemorrhagic infarcts between the two delivery times.

Results

Functional assessment

Female Sprague-Dawley rats (10-12 months old, 300-400 grams) were initially trained to pick up sucrose pellets in the Montoya Staircase [5] and also tested for forelimb bias using the Forelimb Asymmetry Test [6]. We chose old rats as an animal model to better model the human stroke population, as neurogenesis is decreased in both humans and animals with age [9,10], and the drugs chosen would work to increase neurogenesis [11-14]. Three days prior to the stroke, the rats were introduced to the vehicle that would contain their drugs or serve as a vehicle control (4 grams of sugar cookie dough, Pillsbury’®) to allow them to get over their avoidance of novel foods; their pre-stroke baseline performance in Montoya Staircase and Forelimb Asymmetry was assessed. To be included in the functional analysis, rats had to pick up at least 9 sucrose pellets with each forepaw in the Montoya Staircase test and show at least a 20% functional deficit. The rats displayed similar post-stroke function in the contralateral paw in each of the time groups: in the 6-12 hours treatment groups, the mean contralateral function was 0.30±0.32 (mean±SD) in the FS group and 0.33±0.28 in the control group.

Infarct volumes vary with timing of fluoxetine, statin administration

In Figure 1, infarct volumes were calculated on post-stroke day 91, with animals either receiving fluoxetine and simvastatin (FS in figure, 5 mg/kg, and 1 mg/kg, respectively) or vehicle control,
Infarct volume varies with timing of fluoxetine and simvastatin delivery after ischemic stroke.
Infarct volumes were assessed in rats at post-stroke day 91, and drug-treated rats received 5 mg/kg fluoxetine and 1 mg/kg simvastatin daily in vehicle, beginning at the time indicated in the panel. FS in the figure refers to animals receiving fluoxetine and simvastatin.
Panel A: Panel shows infarct volumes assessed in rats receiving either vehicle control (circles, N=8) and or drugs in vehicle (squares, N=6) beginning 20-26 hours after ischemic stroke induction and continuing daily until the end of study. Infarct volumes showed a strong trend of reduction, but just missed a significant difference (P=0.0563, Welch's t-test) for the fluoxetine and simvastatin group.
Panel B: Panel shows infarct volumes when either vehicle control (circles, N=8) or drugs in vehicle (squares, N=11) was delivered 6-12 hours after ischemic stroke induction and continued daily until the end of study. Infarct volumes showed no statistical difference (P=0.1347; Welch's t-test).
Panel C: Panel shows direct comparison of infarct volumes for the group receiving fluoxetine and simvastatin with different delivery times after stroke induction. The timing of delivery after stroke produced a significant difference in infarct volumes (P=0.0157; Welch's t-test), with the earlier timing producing a much larger infarct.

Histological evidence of secondary hemorrhagic transformation in rats receiving fluoxetine and statin at an early time point
In Figure 2, infarcts are shown (outlined with dashed lines) in representative coronal brain sections for each of the relevant groups. The endothelin-1 injection site was usually clearly seen at the dorsal portion of the cortex as it is generally a hole in the tissue at 91 days post-stroke, since it was the origin of the infarct. In control animals (Panels A and C), we have generally seen additional damage to the right side of this injection point, representing perhaps reperfusion damage when the endothelin-1 was metabolized or eliminated, allowing blood flow to return after approximately 24 hours [16]. Areas with very small surface damage were not included in outlined infarcts just for clarity in this figure, but they were included in the infarct analysis above. In general, we did not see evidence of any secondary hemorrhagic stroke in control animals, whether or not the control vehicle was delivered at 6-12 hours after stroke (Panel A) or 20-26 hours after stroke (Panel C).

Panel D shows the infarct size (largest in a series of brain sections) from an animal given fluoxetine and simvastatin 20-26 hours after beginning either 6-12 hours or 20-26 hours after stroke induction and continuing daily through post-stroke day 90. In Panel A, we see a strong trend toward a reduction in infarct size in the FS group (3.051±0.3447 mm³, mean±SEM; squares) compared to control (6.277±0.14 mm³, mean±SEM; circles) when the fluoxetine and simvastatin drug combination is administered beginning 20-26 hours after the stroke (P=0.0569; Welch's correction on unpaired t-test with unequal variance), which was an unexpected result. We did not think drug administration this late after stroke induction would have any effect on the infarct volume. The reduction in infarct volume has also correlated with an increase in motor functional recovery, referenced in some of our previous work [6]. We next tested whether an earlier time point for drug delivery would result in further reduction of infarct volume and greater functional recovery, administering the drugs 6-12 hours after stroke induction, a time period over which the majority of stroke patients have been shown to arrive at the hospital [1]. In Panel B, we see that drug delivery at an earlier time point actually resulted in larger infarct volumes (15.24±4.26 mm³; mean±SEM; squares) which were not statistically different from control infarct volumes (9.775±2.786 mm³; mean±SEM; circles) (P=0.1347; Welch's correction for unpaired t-test with unequal variance). In Panel C, we directly compared the infarct volumes in the two groups that received fluoxetine and simvastatin, just looking at the effect the difference in delivery time (noted on the X axis) had on the infarct volume. This data was simply taken from panels A and B, re-plotted on the same scale and then compared for statistical difference. Earlier delivery (6-12 hours after stroke) resulted in statistically larger infarcts (circles; mean of 15.24 mm³) compared to later delivery times (20-26 hours after stroke; squares; 3.051 mm³ mean) (P=0.017; Welch's correction of unpaired t-test with unequal variance). Since this was a surprising result, we examined the infarcts closely to determine why the infarct size was enlarged.
stroke induction. You only see the original damage associated with the endothelin-1 injection at the core of the infarct, but the rest of the cortex appears to have normal Nissl staining. In contrast to control animals, there does not appear to be damage to the right of the original site, perhaps indicating that reperfusion damage was minimized. Alternatively, the fluoxetine and simvastatin are both known to increase growth factors in the brain, and we have shown in a previous study [6] that one of these growth factors, brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), is elevated around the site of the original infarct; this may allow tissue around the original infarct to survive.

Out of 12 control animals with vehicle delivered at 6-12 hours, we saw two that had possible signs of secondary hemorrhagic infaracts (infarcts were located either far anterior or far posterior and dissociated away from the original infarct). One of these substantial bleeding occurred when lowering the needle into the cortex, so was an artifact of the surgical procedure. An example of this is shown in Figure 3, panel A, illustrating the extensive bleed from surgical artifact compared to a possible secondary hemorrhagic transformation. One control animal did show some posterior lateral damage, which may have been caused by a secondary hemorrhagic infarct, so we did include this animal when we compared relative risk of secondary hemorrhagic infarcts. In contrast, when we examined fluoxetine and simvastatin delivery 6-12 hours after stroke (Panel B), we found more evidence of secondary hemorrhagic stroke, clearly dissociated from the original endothelin-1 injection site (e.g. ventral location, location in other hemisphere, far anterior or posterior to original injection site): Six of the original 11 animals in the early delivery FS group showed signs of secondary infarcts. The relative risk was calculated to be 4.36 (95% CI=0.64-29.5) for these small groups (6 positive outcomes and 5 negative outcomes) for the treated group to have a bad outcome compared to control (1 positive and 7 negative).

**Discussion**

A number of animal studies have looked at fluoxetine...
administration in stroked animals or traumatic brain injury animals and many have failed to see any positive effect of the fluoxetine [17-19]. In several of these studies, neurogenesis is examined in the dentate gyrus, with only cursory examination of neurogenesis in lateral ventricles that are very posterior in the brain (to the side of the hippocampus). Also, the delivery method for fluoxetine is generally one which would induce stress in the animal (surgical implantation of an osmotic pump delivering fluoxetine, daily intraperitoneal injections of fluoxetine, oral gavage of fluoxetine, etc.). We have seen increases in neurogenesis in older (10-12 month) rats in the subventricular zone (SVZ) of the anterior lateral ventricles in the presence of fluoxetine when it is given with voluntary oral administration, thus eliminating any stress with administration of the fluoxetine. We also see functional recovery, which correlates well with what is being seen in clinical trials of the drug in stroke patients [2, 20] or traumatic brain injury patients [21]. Since stress has an inhibitory effect on neurogenesis and fluoxetine generally has a positive effect [22, 23], we believe that care must be taken to eliminate stress when administering the fluoxetine to animal models of disease, which our drug delivery system accomplishes [8].

Both fluoxetine and simvastatin have been shown to increase vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) [14, 24]. In the early delivery time, the formation of VEGF may cause bleeding because the normal proteins involved in structural support of angiogenesis are not yet available. In a study from Michael Chopp’s lab, early delivery (within 1 hour of induction) of rhVEGF to middle cerebral artery-occluded male Wistar rats showed signs of blood brain barrier leakage and hemorrhagic transformation, while later delivery (48 hours after stroke induction) did not [25]. This study may be the basis for beginning the fluoxetine post-stroke treatment no earlier than the second day post-stroke in ongoing Clinical Trials testing fluoxetine for motor recovery after stroke. We do not have direct evidence for increased VEGF immediately after drug administration yet, but from previous work on these drugs, the preceding was a potential explanation for why we saw hemorrhagic transformation at an earlier time point, but that hemorrhagic transformation disappeared at a later time point, although the drugs were exactly the same at both time points. However, given the results of this study and another study from this lab [6], it appears that giving fluoxetine 20-26 hours after stroke induction not only promotes motor functional recovery, but also reduces the infarct volume significantly compared to giving the fluoxetine and simvastatin within 6-12 hours of stroke induction.

We are currently performing studies where the rats are on the statins prior to stroke induction, and fluoxetine is given at various times after stroke, so that the drug delivery will correlate better with what is seen during clinical trials with fluoxetine. We will directly compare fluoxetine delivery at 6-12 hours, 20-26 hours and 48-54 hours after stroke induction, and note impact on infarct volume at 7 days post-stroke and any trend towards hemorrhagic transformation.

**Conclusion**

The combination of simvastatin and fluoxetine increased the relative risk (RR=4.36, 95% CI=0.64-29.5) of bleeding after ischemic stroke if it is given 6-12 hours after stroke induction in rats. However, delivery of simvastatin and fluoxetine 20-26 hours after ischemic stroke in rats significantly reduces infarct size compared to earlier delivery and shows a strong trend towards infarct reduction compared to controls.
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